

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/088,737	06/02/1998	, RYUZO KOANA	862.2339	2096	
5514	7590 03/29	2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			POON, KING Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER ART ORIZ PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/20/2002 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The new added claimed limitation of number of pipes for output, she assigned theath of the image output apparatus 'as find in claims 9 1 16, 30 31, 32, 37 34, and 35, raise new issues that would require farther search analysis answering
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the daims will be as follows:
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 4-6, 8-16, 14-21, and 23-75 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. If The allidavit, authibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because it relies on the newly added chains as limitations not being entered because they raise new issue and that claimed limitations of the finally rejected claims are still meet by the primart of
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier record presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. Halviel Havei's
GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)